

In the United States Court of Federal Claims
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS
(Filed: December 20, 2023)

* * * * *

STACY GINN and JENNIFER GINN,

Parents of, R.G., a minor,

Petitioners,

* No. 16-1466V

v.

* Special Master Dorsey

*

* Attorneys' Fees and Costs

*

SECRETARY OF HEALTH
AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

*

* * * * *

Ronald C. Homer, Conway Homer, P.C., Boston, MA, for petitioners.

Felicia Langel, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, D.C., for respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS¹

On November 7, 2016, Stacy and Jennifer Ginn ("Petitioners"), as parents of R.G., a minor, filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program ("Vaccine Act" or "the Program"), 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-10 et seq. (2012).² Petitioners alleged that R.G. suffered from epilepsy as the result of diphtheria-tetanus-acellular-pertussis ("DTaP"), inactivated polio ("IPV"), haemophilus influenzae type b ("Hib"), measles-mumps-rubella ("MMR"), and influenza ("flu") vaccines administered on November 15, 2013. Petition at 1 (ECF No. 1). On December 6, 2022, the undersigned issued her decision awarding finding petitioners are entitled to compensation. (ECF No. 163).

¹ This Decision will be posted on the website of the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** As provided by 44 U.S.C. § 300aa-12(d)(4)B, however, the parties may object to the published Decision's inclusion of certain kinds of confidential information. Specifically, Under Vaccine Rule 18(b), each party has 14 days within which to request redaction "of any information furnished by that party: (1) that is a trade secret or commercial or financial in substance and is privileged or confidential; or (2) that includes medical files or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy." Vaccine Rule 18(b). Otherwise, the whole decision will be available to the public in its current form. *Id.*

² The National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program is set forth in Part 2 of the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755, codified as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-10 to -34 (2012). All citations in this Ruling to individual sections of the Vaccine Act are to 42 U.S.C. § 300aa.

On May 11, 2023, petitioners filed a final motion for attorneys' fees and costs.³ Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs (ECF No. 167). Petitioners request compensation in the amount of \$57,279.08, representing \$50,564.60 in attorneys' fees and \$6,714.48 in costs. Fees App. at 5. Pursuant to General Order No. 9, petitioners warrant that \$5,211.59 in costs were incurred to establish and maintain the guardianship for the minor. *Id.* at 2. Respondent filed his response on May 12, 2023, indicating that he "is satisfied the statutory requirements for an award of attorneys' fees and costs are met in this case." Response at 2. (ECF No. 169). Petitioners did not file a reply thereafter. The matter is now ripe for disposition.

For the reasons discussed below, the undersigned GRANTS petitioner's motion and awards a total of \$62,490.67.

I. Discussion

Under the Vaccine Act, the special master shall award reasonable attorneys' fees and costs for any petition that results in an award of compensation. 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(e)(1). When compensation is not awarded, the special master "may" award reasonable attorneys' fees and costs "if the special master or court determines that the petition was brought in good faith and there was a reasonable basis for the claim for which the petition was brought." *Id.* at §15(e)(1). In this case, because petitioners were awarded compensation, they are entitled to a final award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

a. Reasonable Attorneys' Fees

The Federal Circuit has approved use of the lodestar approach to determine reasonable attorney's fees and costs under the Vaccine Act. *Avera v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 515 F.3d 1343, 1349 (Fed. Cir. 2008). Using the lodestar approach, a court first determines "an initial estimate of a reasonable attorney's fee by 'multiplying the number of hours reasonably expended on the litigation times a reasonable hourly rate.'" *Id.* at 1347-58 (quoting *Blum v. Stenson*, 465 U.S. 886, 888 (1984)). Then, the court may make an upward or downward departure from the initial calculation of the fee award based on other specific findings. *Id.* at 1348.

Counsel must submit fee requests that include contemporaneous and specific billing records indicating the service performed, the number of hours expended on the service, and the name of the person performing the service. See *Savin v. Sec'y of Health and Human Servs.*, 85 Fed. Cl. 313, 316-18 (2008). Counsel should not include in their fee requests hours that are "excessive, redundant, or otherwise unnecessary." *Saxton v. Sec'y of Health and Human Servs.*, 3 F.3d 1517, 1521 (Fed. Cir. 1993) (quoting *Hensley v. Eckerhart*, 461 U.S. 424, 434 (1983)). It is "well within the special master's discretion to reduce the hours to a number that, in [her] experience and judgment, [is] reasonable for the work done." *Id.* at 1522. Furthermore, the special master may reduce a fee request *sua sponte*, apart from objections raised by respondent

³ Petitioners were awarded \$116,122.68 of interim attorneys' fees and costs on December 4, 2020. (ECF No. 107).

and without providing a petitioner notice and opportunity to respond. See Sabella v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., 86 Fed. Cl. 201, 209 (2009).

A special master need not engage in a line-by-line analysis of a petitioner's fee application when reducing fees. Broekelschen v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., 102 Fed. Cl. 719, 729 (2011). Special masters may rely on their experience with the Vaccine Program and its attorneys to determine the reasonable number of hours expended. Wasson v. Sec'y of Health and Human Servs., 24 Cl. Ct. 482, 484 (Fed. Cl. Nov. 19, 1991) rev'd on other grounds and aff'd in relevant part, 988 F. 2d 131 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Just as “[t]rial courts routinely use their prior experience to reduce hourly rates and the number of hours claimed in attorney fee requests ... [v]accine program special masters are also entitled to use their prior experience in reviewing fee application.” Saxton, 3 F. 3d at 1521.

i. Reasonable Hourly Rates

Petitioners request the following hourly rates for the work of their counsel at Conway Homer, P.C.: for Mr. Ronald Homer, \$447.00 per hour for work performed in 2021, \$475.00 per hour for work performed in 2022, and \$500.00 per hour for work performed in 2023; for Ms. Christina Ciampolilo, \$380.00 per hour for work performed in 2021, \$425.00 per hour for work performed in 2022, and \$475.00 per hour for work performed in 2023; for Mr. Joseph Pepper, the rate of \$355.00 per hour for work performed in 2021, the rate of \$415.00 per hour for work performed in 2022 and \$455.00 per hour for work performed in 2023; for Ms. Lauren Faga, \$330.00 per hour for work performed in 2021 and \$425.00 per hour for work performed in 2023⁴; Ms. Meridith Daniels, \$350.00 per hour for work performed in 2021, \$410.00 per hour for work performed in 2022 and \$455.00 per hour for work performed in 2023; and for paralegal time \$155.00 per hour for work performed in 2021, \$175.00 per hour for work performed in 2023 and \$185.00 per hour for work performed in 2023. These rates are consistent with what counsel have previously been awarded for their Vaccine Program work, and the undersigned finds them to be reasonable herein.

ii. Reasonable Hours Expended

In reducing an award of fees, the goal is to achieve rough justice, and therefore a special master may take into account their overall sense of a case and may use estimates when reducing an award. See Florence v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., No. 15-255V, 2016 WL 6459592, at *5 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Oct. 6, 2016) (citing Fox v. Vice, 563 U.S. 826, 838 (2011)). It is well established that an application for fees and costs must sufficiently detail and explain the time billed so that a special master may determine, from the application and the case file, whether the amount requested is reasonable. Bell v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., 18 Cl. Ct. 751, 760 (1989); Rodriguez, 2009 WL 2568468. Petitioner bears the burden of documenting the fees and costs claimed. Id. at *8.

⁴ Attorney Faga did not bill time in this matter during 2022.

The undersigned has reviewed the submitted billing entries and finds the total number of hours billed to be reasonable. The billing entries accurately reflect the nature of the work performed and the undersigned does not find any of the entries to be objectionable. Respondent also has not indicated that he finds any of the entries to be objectionable either. Petitioner is therefore awarded final attorneys' fees of \$50,546.60.

b. Attorneys' Costs

Petitioner requests a total of \$6,714.48 in attorneys' costs, including \$5,910.00 in fees for work performed by petitioner's life care planner, medical records and shipping costs. Additionally, petitioner requests \$5,211.59 in personal costs incurred to establish and maintain the necessary guardianship for R.G. (Fees App. at 2). The undersigned has reviewed the documentation submitted to support petitioners request and awards all costs in full.

The undersigned has reviewed the requested costs and finds them to be reasonable. Accordingly, the full amount of costs shall be awarded.

II. Conclusion

Based on all of the above, the undersigned finds that it is reasonable compensate petitioners and their counsel as follows:

Attorneys' Fees Requested	\$50,564.60
(Total Reduction from Billing Hours)	-
Total Attorneys' Fees Awarded	\$50,564.60
Attorneys' Costs Requested	\$6,714.48
(Reduction of Costs)	-
Total Attorneys' Costs Awarded	\$6,714.48
Total Attorneys' Fees and Costs Awarded	\$57,279.08
Petitioner's Costs Requested	\$5,211.59
(Reduction of Costs)	-
Total Petitioner's Costs Awarded	\$5,211.59

Accordingly, the undersigned awards \$57,279.08 in attorneys' fees and costs, in the form of a check payable jointly to petitioners and petitioner's counsel, Mr. Ronald Homer. The undersigned awards \$5,211.59 in personal costs incurred, in the form of a check payable to petitioners.

In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the Clerk of Court **SHALL ENTER JUDGMENT** in accordance with this decision.⁵

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Nora Beth Dorsey
Nora Beth Dorsey
Special Master

⁵ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.